

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(a) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
(AMENDMENT NO. )

Filed by the Registrant /X/

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant / /

Check the appropriate box:

- / / Preliminary Proxy Statement
- / / Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- /X/ Definitive Proxy Statement
- / / Definitive Additional Materials
- / / Soliciting Material Pursuant to Rule 14a-11(c) or Rule 14a-12

UNION PACIFIC CORPORATION

-----  
(Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- / / \$125 per Exchange Act Rules 0-11(c)(1)(ii), 14a-6(i)(1), 14a-6(i)(2) or Item 22(a)(2) of Schedule 14A
- / / \$500 per each party to the controversy pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 14a-6(i)(3)
- / / Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

/X/ Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

/ / Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

UNION PACIFIC CORPORATION  
[LOGO]

DREW LEWIS  
CHAIRMAN

March 1, 1996

Dear Shareholder:

1995 was a historic year for Union Pacific. Several significant strategic initiatives were launched that will reposition the Company and provide strong support for continued growth in shareholder value. Our acquisition of the Chicago and North Western, the agreement to acquire the Southern Pacific and the initial public offering of an interest in Union Pacific Resources are important strategic decisions that will provide long-term economic benefit to the Company and its shareholders. In addition, our record income of \$946 million in 1995 is a source of great pride to all of us and the top management succession plan we have developed is crucial to the future of the Company. All of these efforts have been well received in the investment community and our stock has rebounded substantially from this time last year.

Your management and Board of Directors also addressed the increasingly significant subject of corporate governance to ensure that your Company is responsive to shareholder interests and maintains its position as one of the best managed companies in the business. The discussion of our corporate governance practices in the Corporate Governance Standards section of the Proxy Statement will be of special interest to all shareholders. We would also like to focus your attention on our proposals to eliminate cumulative voting in the election of directors and to declassify the Board, which will result in the annual election of all directors. We urge you to support these proposals, each of which is conditioned upon shareholder approval of the other; their approval will further demonstrate and reinforce our commitment to equal treatment of all shareholders.

We sincerely thank you for your support in 1995 and look forward to bringing you even greater accomplishments in 1996!

Sincerely,

/s/ DREW LEWIS

[LOGO]

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING  
OF SHAREHOLDERS

Martin Tower  
Eighth and Eaton Avenues  
Bethlehem, PA 18018

March 1, 1996

To the Shareholders:

You are hereby notified that the 1996 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Union Pacific Corporation, a Utah corporation (the Company), will be held at the Little America Hotel, Salt Lake City, Utah, at 8:30 A.M., Mountain Daylight Time, on Friday, April 19, 1996 for the following purposes:

- (1) to elect six directors, each to serve for a term of three years;
- (2) to amend the Revised Articles of Incorporation to eliminate cumulative voting;
- (3) to amend the Revised Articles of Incorporation to declassify the Board of Directors;
- (4) to ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the independent certified public accountants of the Company; and

to transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof; all in accordance with the accompanying Proxy Statement. Each of Proposals 2 and 3 is conditioned upon shareholder approval of the other.

Only shareholders of record at the close of business on February 9, 1996 are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting.

Shareholders are urged to date, sign and return the enclosed proxy promptly, whether or not they expect to attend the meeting in person.

J. L. SWANTAK  
Vice President and Secretary

IT IS IMPORTANT THAT PROXIES BE RETURNED PROMPTLY. THEREFORE, SHAREHOLDERS ARE URGED TO DATE, SIGN AND RETURN THE ACCOMPANYING FORM OF PROXY IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE WHETHER OR NOT THEY EXPECT TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING IN PERSON. YOUR PROXY MAY BE REVOKED AT ANY TIME BEFORE IT IS VOTED.

(THE ENCLOSED RETURN ENVELOPE REQUIRES NO POSTAGE IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES.)

UNION PACIFIC CORPORATION  
PROXY STATEMENT  
FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON APRIL 19, 1996

March 1, 1996

This Proxy Statement is being furnished to shareholders of Union Pacific Corporation, a Utah corporation (the Company), in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of the Company for use at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders (Annual Meeting) to be held on April 19, 1996 for the purpose of considering and voting upon the matters set forth in the accompanying notice of the Annual Meeting. The first date on which this Proxy Statement and the accompanying form of proxy are being sent to shareholders of the Company is March 1, 1996.

The close of business on February 9, 1996 has been fixed as the record date for the determination of shareholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting. As of the record date there were 205,598,986 shares of Common Stock (Common Stock) of the Company outstanding, exclusive of shares held in the treasury of the Company which may not be voted. On the record date no person owned of record or was known to the Company to beneficially own more than 5% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock.

Except in the election of directors, holders of shares of Common Stock are entitled to one vote for each share registered in their respective names. In the election of directors, shareholders may vote cumulatively and, at the Annual Meeting, are entitled to six votes for each share of Common Stock registered in their respective names, i.e., as many votes per share as there are directors to be elected. A shareholder may allocate votes to or among one or more nominees for director in any manner desired. Unless the shareholder specifies otherwise, the persons named in the enclosed proxy will allocate their votes in their discretion among the Board of Directors' nominees for director for whom they are authorized to vote. On all matters considered at the Annual Meeting, abstentions and broker non-votes will be treated as neither a vote 'for' nor 'against' the matter; however, because Proposals 2 and 3 require approval by a specified percentage of all outstanding shares, such votes will have the same effect as a vote against the matter. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be counted in determining if a quorum is present.

All shares represented by properly executed proxies will, unless such proxies have previously been revoked, be voted at the Annual Meeting in accordance with the directions on the proxies. If no direction is indicated, the shares will be voted as recommended by the

Board of Directors. See 'Other Business' on Page 38 for information concerning the voting of proxies if other matters are properly brought before the Annual Meeting. A shareholder executing and returning a proxy has the power to revoke it at any time before it is voted by providing written notice of such revocation to the Secretary of the Company, by submitting a validly executed later-dated proxy or by attending the meeting and voting in person. The mere presence of a shareholder at the Annual Meeting, however, will not constitute a revocation of a previously submitted proxy.

The Company will bear the costs of its solicitation of proxies. In addition to the use of the mails, proxies may be solicited by personal interview, telephone and telefax by the directors, officers and employees of the Company. Arrangements will also be made with brokerage houses and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for the forwarding of solicitation material to the beneficial owners of Common Stock held of record by such persons, and the Company may reimburse such custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred by them in connection with such solicitation. In addition, Morrow & Co., Inc., 909 Third Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10022 has been engaged to solicit proxies for the Company. The anticipated fees of Morrow & Co., Inc. are \$25,000 plus certain expenses.

#### SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS

Shareholders desiring to submit a proposal for consideration for inclusion in the Company's proxy statement and form of proxy relating to the 1997 Annual Meeting of Shareholders must submit in writing such proposal and any statement in support thereof to the Secretary of the Company by November 1, 1996.

#### (1) ELECTION OF SIX DIRECTORS

Unless authority to do so is withheld, the Company's proxies intend to vote the enclosed proxy at the Annual Meeting for the election of the six nominees for director named herein, all of whom are presently directors of the Company. It is intended that the nominees for director be elected to hold office for three-year terms or until their successors are elected. If any nominee for director for any reason should become unavailable for election, it is intended that discretionary authority will be exercised by the persons named in the enclosed proxy in respect of the election of such other person as the Board of Directors shall nominate. The Board of Directors is not aware of any circumstances likely to cause any nominee for director to become unavailable for election. The six nominees for director receiving the highest number of votes cast at the Annual Meeting will be elected.

As of February 9, 1996 all directors and executive officers as a group beneficially owned 2,446,522 shares of Common Stock, representing 1.19% of the outstanding Common Stock, of which 1,489,775 are shares with respect to which such persons have the right to acquire beneficial ownership within 60 days pursuant to stock options. No director or nominee for director beneficially owned more than 0.31% of the outstanding Common Stock, or any of the Company's 4 3/4% convertible debentures.

Mr. Lawrence M. Jones and Ms. Claudine B. Malone, who have served as directors since 1988 and 1990, respectively, have resigned effective December 31, 1995, to become directors of the Company's new public subsidiary, Union Pacific Resources Group Inc. (Resources). The Board of Directors wishes to express its deep appreciation for the significant contributions made by Mr. Jones and Ms. Malone to the growth and success of the Company during their respective tenures and to wish them well as they participate in the guidance and direction of Resources in its move forward as a new public company. Their expertise and wise counsel will be sorely missed.

Due to the resignations of Mr. Jones and Ms. Malone, and in order to comply with the Utah Revised Business Corporation Act, which requires membership in classes of directors to be as nearly equal as possible, director L. White Matthews, III, has submitted his resignation as a member of the Class of 1997, effective at the 1996 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, and has been nominated to stand for election as a member of the Class of 1999.

The following tables set forth certain information on the nominees for director and the directors continuing in office, including Common Stock beneficially owned as of March 1, 1996 and current holdings of Company Common Stock Units, representing deferred compensation for non-employee directors invested in their Stock Unit Accounts. See 'Compensation of Directors' on Page 11 for a discussion of the Stock Unit Grant and Deferred Compensation Plan. These ownership figures indicate the alignment of the named individuals' financial interests with the interests of the Company's shareholders since the value of their total holdings fluctuates with the price of the Company's Common Stock.

In addition, certain directors of the Company beneficially own shares of common stock of Resources, as follows: Mr. Cheney, 5,000; Mr. Gray, 1,000; Ms. Hope, 5,000; Mr. Robinson, 1,000; and Mr. Messman, 709,737, including 441,183 shares subject to presently exercisable stock options and 245,954 restricted shares. In addition, Mrs. Drew Lewis beneficially owns 1,000 shares of common stock of Resources in a Keogh account. Mr. Lewis disclaims beneficial interest in such shares.

		EQUITY OWNERSHIP	
NAME AND PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION OR EMPLOYMENT		UPC UNITS	UPC SHARES
-----			
Nominees for Term Expiring in 1999			
[PHOTO]	Richard B. Cheney, 2,4 Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, Hal- liburton Company, specialized services for the petroleum industry, Dallas, Texas. Director, Procter & Gamble Co. Director of the Company since 1993. Age 55.	100	1,600
[PHOTO]	E. Virgil Conway, 1,4 Chairman and a member of the Board, Metropolitan Transportation Authority, public transportation, New York, N.Y. Director, Accu-Health, Inc., Centennial Insurance Company, Trism, Inc. Trustee, Atlantic Mutual Insurance Company, Consolidated Edison Company of New  York, Inc., HRE Properties, Mutual Funds Managed by Phoenix Home Life. Director of the Company since 1978. Age 66.	541	17,200
[PHOTO]	Drew Lewis, 1 Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and Chairman and a director of Union Pacific Resources Group Inc., a subsidiary of the Company. Director, American Express Company, AT&T Corp., Ford Motor Company, FPL Group, Inc., Gannett Co., Inc. Director of the Company since 1986. Age 64.	--	628,000(a)

	NAME AND PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION OR EMPLOYMENT	EQUITY OWNERSHIP	
		UPC UNITS	UPC SHARES
[PHOTO]	L. White Matthews, III, 1 Executive Vice President--Finance of the Company. Director, The Pilot Funds, Union Pacific Resources Group Inc. Director of the Company since 1994. Age 50.	--	290,237(b)
[PHOTO]	Jack L. Messman 3 President and Chief Executive Officer and a director of Union Pacific Resources Group Inc., oil and gas production, a subsidiary of the Company. Director, Cambridge Technology Partners (Massachusetts), Inc., Novell, Inc., Safeguard Scientifics Inc., Tandy, Inc., USDATA Corporation, Inc., WaWa, Inc. Director of the Company since 1994. Age 55.	--	55,153(c)
[PHOTO]	Thomas A. Reynolds, Jr., 2,4 Chairman Emeritus, Winston & Strawn, law firm, Chicago, Illinois, New York, N.Y. and Washington, D.C. Director, Gannett Co., Inc., Jefferson Smurfit Group. Director of the Company since 1989. Age 67.	751	8,200

- - - - -

- 1 Member of the Executive Committee.
- 2 Member of the Audit Committee.
- 3 Member of the Finance Committee.
- 4 Member of the Compensation, Benefits and Nominating Committee.
- (a) Includes 455,000 shares of Common Stock subject to presently exercisable stock options.



- (b) Includes 172,900 shares of Common Stock subject to presently exercisable stock options and 80,000 restricted shares granted under the 1993 Stock Option and Retention Stock Plan.
- (c) Includes 7,200 shares of Common Stock subject to presently exercisable stock options.

-----

DIRECTORS CONTINUING IN OFFICE

The following directors are continuing in office for the respective periods indicated or until their successors are elected. Each of these directors has been elected to hold such office by the shareholders of the Company.

	NAME AND PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION OR EMPLOYMENT	EQUITY OWNERSHIP	
		UPC UNITS	UPC SHARES
	-----	-----	-----
Term Expiring in 1997			
[PHOTO]	Spencer F. Eccles, 2,3 Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, First Security Corporation, bank holding company, Salt Lake City, Utah. Director, Anderson Lumber Co., First Security Bank of Utah, Zion's Cooperative Mercantile Institution. Director of the Company since 1976. Age 61.	448	9,200(a)
[PHOTO]	William H. Gray, III, 3,4 President, United Negro College Fund, educational assistance, New York, N.Y. Director, Chase Manhattan Corp., MBIA Inc., Prudential Insurance Company of America, Rockwell International Corporation, Warner-Lambert Company, Westinghouse Electric Corporation. Director of the Company since 1991. Age 54.	100	1,407

	NAME AND PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION OR EMPLOYMENT	EQUITY OWNERSHIP	
		UPC UNITS	UPC SHARES
[PHOTO]	Judith Richards Hope, 1,2,3 Senior Partner, Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker, law firm, Los Angeles, California and Washington, D.C. Director, The Budd Company, General Mills, Inc., Russell Reynolds Associates, Inc., Zurich Reinsurance Center Holdings, Inc. Member, The Harvard Corporation (The President and Fellows of Harvard College). Director of the Company since 1988. Age 55.	360	3,800
[PHOTO]	John R. Meyer, 1,2,3 Professor, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts. Director, The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation, Rand McNally Co., Inc. Trustee, Mutual Life Insurance Company of New York. Director of the Company since 1978. Age 68.	644	8,358
[PHOTO]	Robert W. Roth, 1,2 Retired President and Chief Executive Officer, Jantzen, Inc., sportswear manufacturer, Portland, Oregon. Director of the Company since 1972. Age 72.	100	7,200
[PHOTO]	Richard D. Simmons, 1,4 President, International Herald Tribune, communications, Washington, D.C. Director, International Herald Tribune, J. P. Morgan & Co., Incorporated, Morgan Guaranty Trust Company of New York, The Washington Post Company, Yankee Publishing. Director of the Company since 1982. Age 61.	475	3,845

NAME AND PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION OR EMPLOYMENT		EQUITY OWNERSHIP	
		UPC UNITS	UPC SHARES
-----			
Term Expiring in 1998			
[PHOTO]	Robert P. Bauman, 3,4 Chairman, British Aerospace p.l.c., aircraft and aerospace manufacturer, London, England. Director, CIGNA Corporation, Reuters Holdings p.l.c., Russell Reynolds Associates, Inc. Director of the Company since 1987. Age 64.	100	3,200
[PHOTO]	Richard K. Davidson, 3 President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company and Chairman of Union Pacific Railroad Company, a subsidiary of the Company. Director, California Energy Company, Inc. Director of the Company since 1994. Age 54.	--	325,321(b)
[PHOTO]	Elbridge T. Gerry, Jr., 1,2,3 Partner, Brown Brothers Harriman & Co., bankers, New York, N.Y. Director of the Company since 1986. Age 62.	572	4,087(c)
[PHOTO]	Richard J. Mahoney, 3,4 Chairman of the Executive Committee, Monsanto Company, agricultural, chemical, pharmaceutical and food products, man-made fibers and plastics, St. Louis, Missouri. Director, Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, Monsanto Company. Director of the Company since 1991. Age 62.	100	2,609

	NAME AND PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION OR EMPLOYMENT	EQUITY OWNERSHIP	
		UPC UNITS	UPC SHARES
[PHOTO]	James D. Robinson, III, 1,4 Chairman and CEO, RRE Investors, LLC, a private venture investment firm, and President, J. D. ROBINSON INC., a strategic advisory company, New York, N.Y. Senior Advisor, Trust Company of the West. Previously served as Chairman, CEO and a director, American Express Company, from 1977 to 1993. Director, Alexander & Alexander Services, Inc., Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, The Coca-Cola Company, Cambridge Technology Partners (Massachusetts), Inc., First Data Corporation, New World Communications Group, Inc. Director of the Company from 1974 to 1985 and since 1989. Age 60.	244	4,800(d)

- 1 Member of the Executive Committee.
- 2 Member of the Audit Committee.
- 3 Member of the Finance Committee.
- 4 Member of the Compensation, Benefits and Nominating Committee.

- (a) In addition, 44,080 shares of Common Stock are held by trusts of which Mr. Eccles is sole trustee or under powers of attorney granted to Mr. Eccles.
- (b) Includes 208,250 shares of Common Stock subject to presently exercisable stock options and 80,000 restricted shares granted under the 1993 Stock Option and Retention Stock Plan.
- (c) Mr. Gerry also has shared voting or investment power with respect to 562,252 shares held in family trusts.
- (d) Mrs. James D. Robinson, III, is the beneficial owner of 2,000 shares of Common Stock and Mr. Robinson is co-trustee for a family trust which owns 1,600 shares. Mr. Robinson disclaims beneficial interest in such shares.

Except for the directors listed below, each of the directors named in the preceding tables has held the indicated office or position in his or her principal occupation for at least five years. Each of the directors listed below held the office or position first indicated as of five years ago.

Mr. Robert P. Bauman was Chief Executive of SmithKline Beecham p.l.c. through April 1994 and since such date has been non-executive Chairman of British Aerospace, p.l.c. Mr. Richard B. Cheney served as Secretary of Defense through January 20, 1993, Senior Fellow, American Enterprise Institute, from 1993 through September 30, 1995 and since October 1, 1995 has served as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Halliburton Company. Mr. Richard K. Davidson was Executive Vice President of Union Pacific Railroad Company until August 7, 1991, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Railroad until September 17, 1991, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Railroad until August 15, 1995 and Chairman of the Railroad since such latter date. Mr. Davidson has also been President of the Company since May 26, 1994 and also assumed the duties of Chief Operating Officer of the Company on November 1, 1995. Mr. William H. Gray, III, served as a member of the United States House of Representatives from the Second District of Pennsylvania through August 1991 and since such date has been President of United Negro College Fund. Mr. Drew Lewis was Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company through May 26, 1994 and since such date has been Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company. Mr. Lewis also served as Chairman of Union Pacific Railroad Company during August and September 1991 and became Chairman of Resources in August 1995. Mr. Richard J. Mahoney was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Monsanto Company through March 31, 1995 and since such date has been Chairman of the Executive Committee. Mr. L. White Matthews, III, was Senior Vice President-Finance of the Company until April 16, 1992 and since such date has been Executive Vice President-Finance of the Company. Mr. Jack L. Messman was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of USPCI, Inc. (waste management), a subsidiary of the Company, until May 1, 1991 and since such date has been President and Chief Executive Officer of Union Pacific Resources Company. Mr. Messman continued as Chairman of USPCI through December 31, 1994 and became President and Chief Executive Officer of Resources in August 1995. Mr. Thomas A. Reynolds, Jr., was Chairman of Winston & Strawn through December 31, 1992 and since such date has been Chairman Emeritus of such firm. Mr. James D. Robinson, III, was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of American Express Company (diversified travel, financial and information services) through January 25, 1993. Mr. Richard D. Simmons was President of The Washington Post Co. (communications) through May 1991 and since such date has been President of International Herald Tribune.

## COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS

Directors who are not employees of the Company received an annual retainer of \$60,000, \$6,000 of which is required to be invested in the Stock Unit Account referred to below, plus expenses. In addition, Chairmen or Co-Chairmen of Board Committees receive annual retainers of \$6,000 each. Directors who are employees of the Company receive no retainers. Under the Stock Unit Grant and Deferred Compensation Plan for directors of the Company, a director may elect by December 31 of any year to defer all or a portion of any compensation for service as a director in the ensuing year or years, excluding reimbursement for expenses. Payment of such deferred compensation begins, for amounts in the Stock Unit Account, in January of the year following termination of service as a director (or of a year selected by the director but no earlier than such termination) and, for amounts in the Fixed Income Account referred to below, at the election of the director either at any of such times or in the January following retirement from the director's primary occupation. Deferred compensation may be paid, at the election of the director, in either a lump sum or in up to 10 equal annual installments and may be invested, at the option of the director, in either a Fixed Income Account or a Stock Unit Account. The Accounts are unfunded, unsecured obligations of the Company. The Fixed Income Account earns interest compounded annually at a rate determined by the Treasurer of the Company in January of each year. The Stock Unit Account fluctuates in value based on changes in the price of the Common Stock, and equivalents to cash dividends paid on the Common Stock are deemed to be reinvested in the Stock Unit Account. Cash retainers deferred by six directors during 1995 totaled \$182,400.

Directors who are not employees of the Company may elect to receive \$100,000 of term life insurance and \$10 million of excess liability insurance, for which the Company paid total premiums of \$1,412 for each director in 1995, and to participate in a Company sponsored contributory health care plan. Medical and dental benefits are paid only after payment of benefits under any other group plan in which a director participates. Retired directors are also eligible to participate in a contributory medical program.

Each non-employee director participates in a pension plan which provides an annual pension benefit of \$36,000 upon retirement from the Board of Directors with at least five years of service and attainment of age 65. Directors Bauman, Conway, Eccles, Gerry, Hope, Meyer, Reynolds, Robinson, Roth and Simmons currently are eligible, and Directors Gray and Mahoney will become eligible in April 1996, to receive pension benefits upon retirement. The Company has purchased annuities to satisfy part of the pension obligation to certain directors in amounts calculated to provide the same expected amount net of federal taxes as the pension obligation replaced by the annuity. In January 1996, the Board

terminated the pension plan for all future directors. Future non-employee directors will receive a credit, at their fifth anniversary date, to the Stock Unit Account referred to above. The amount of the credit was determined based upon certain age, retirement and mortality assumptions and a discount rate of 9.8%, and would not be available until after termination of Board service. Such credit would be equal to 1,308 Stock Units based on a Company Common Stock price of \$65 per share. Current directors will continue to be eligible for the \$36,000 annual pension. However, current directors were permitted to exchange \$6,000 of such pension for a credit to the Stock Unit Account calculated to provide an

approximately equivalent expected present value to the \$6,000 annual pension. Such credits to the Stock Unit Accounts are reflected in the preceding biographical information on directors.

As part of its overall program to promote charitable giving, the Company has established the Union Pacific Corporation Board of Directors' Charitable Contribution Plan pursuant to which the Company has purchased \$1 million of life insurance on each incumbent director, subject to vesting requirements based on length of service as a director (i.e., over a five-year period in 20% increments). Death benefits will be paid to the Company and the Company will donate up to \$500,000 of the proceeds to no more than two charitable organizations recommended by the director and the remainder of the proceeds to Union Pacific Foundation in the name of the director. Directors derive no financial benefit from this program since all charitable contribution tax deductions accrue solely to the Company. Moreover, benefits paid to the Company's Foundation may reduce the amount of funding that the Company provides to the Foundation.

Under the 1992 Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors of Union Pacific Corporation, each individual who was a non-employee director on May 28, 1992, has received, and each individual elected as a non-employee director thereafter has received or will receive, an award of 1,200 restricted shares of Common Stock. The restricted shares of Common Stock vest on the date a director ceases to be a director of the Company by reason of death, disability or retirement. During the restricted period, the director has the right to vote and receive dividends on such shares, but may not transfer or encumber such shares, and will forfeit such shares unless he or she remains a director during the restricted period. As used above, 'retirement' means termination of service as a director of the Company, if (a) the director at the time of termination was ineligible for continued service as a director under the Company's Retirement Policy, or (b) the director had served as a director of the Company for at least three years from the date restricted shares of Common Stock were granted to such director, and such termination is (i) due to the director's taking a position with or providing services to a governmental, charitable or educational institution whose policies prohibit continued service on the Board of the Company, (ii) due to the fact

that continued service as a director would be a violation of law, or (iii) not due to the voluntary resignation or refusal to stand for reelection by the director.

#### COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD

The Committees established by the Board of Directors to assist it in the discharge of its responsibilities are described below. The preceding biographical information on directors identifies committee memberships held by each nominee for director and each director continuing in office.

The Executive Committee consists of nine members, seven of whom are non-employee directors. The Committee has all the powers of the Board, when the Board is not in session, to direct and manage, in the Company's best interest, all of the business and affairs of the Company in all cases in which specific directions have not been given by the Board. The Committee did not meet in 1995.

The Audit Committee consists of seven non-employee directors. The Committee meets regularly with financial management, the internal auditors and the independent certified public accountants to provide oversight to the financial reporting process and internal control structure. The Committee reviews fees and non-audit engagements of the independent certified public accountants. Both the independent certified public accountants and the internal auditors have unrestricted access to the Committee and meet regularly with the Committee, without senior financial management representatives present, to discuss the results of their examinations and their opinions on the adequacy of internal controls and quality of financial reporting. The Committee also reviews the scope of audits as well as the annual audit plan. In addition, the Committee reviews the administration of the Company's policies concerning business conduct, derivatives, environmental management and use of corporate aircraft as well as officers' travel and business expenses. Each year the Committee recommends to the Board of Directors selection of the firm of independent certified public accountants to audit the accounts and records of the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries. The Committee met three times in 1995.

The Finance Committee consists of nine members, seven of whom are non-employee directors. The Committee is responsible for oversight of the Company's financial position. The Committee meets regularly with management to review the Company's capital structure, short and long-term financing plans and programs, dividend policies and actions, investor relations activities, insurance programs, tax management and other related matters. The Committee also reviews the investment management of assets held by the



Company's pension, thrift and other funded employee benefit programs, including the appointment of investment managers and trustees. The Committee met four times in 1995.

The Compensation, Benefits and Nominating Committee consists of eight non-employee directors who are ineligible to participate in any of the Company's executive compensation plans. The Committee reviews and makes recommendations to the Board of Directors with respect to compensation for the Board of Directors and employee salaries exceeding an amount set by the By-Laws which cannot be exceeded without Board or Executive Committee approval. The Committee administers the Company's Executive Incentive and Stock Option and Retention Stock Plans and determines for senior executives the amounts of, and the individuals to whom, awards shall be made thereunder. The Committee is responsible for recommending and reviewing all the material amendments to the Company's pension, thrift and employee stock ownership plans. The Committee also periodically reviews the Company's vacation, life insurance and medical and dental benefit plans and the matching gifts program to ensure that these benefit plans remain competitive. The Committee has the responsibility of assisting management with respect to matters of succession, reviewing the qualifications of candidates for the position of director and recommending candidates to the Board of Directors as nominees for director for election at the Annual Meetings of Shareholders or to fill such Board vacancies as may occur during the year. The Committee will consider candidates suggested by directors and shareholders of the Company. Shareholders desiring to suggest candidates should advise the

Secretary of the Company in writing by December 31 of the year preceding the Annual Meeting of Shareholders and include sufficient biographical material to permit an appropriate evaluation. In considering candidates for director, the Board of Directors seeks individuals who have demonstrated outstanding management or professional ability and who have attained a position of leadership in their chosen careers. See Pages 17-21 for the Committee's report on 1995 compensation and stock ownership programs. The Committee met five times in 1995.

During 1995, the Board of Directors met twelve times. None of the directors attended fewer than 75% of the meetings of the Board and Committees on which he or she served. The average attendance of all directors at Board and Committee meetings was 95%.

#### CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

In 1995, Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. (BBH) managed certain pension funds of the Company. The Company paid approximately \$111,000 to BBH for these services. Elbridge T. Gerry, Jr. is a partner of BBH.

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires the Company's executive officers and directors to file initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of the Company's Common Stock with the Securities and Exchange Commission and the New York Stock Exchange. Executive officers and directors are required by SEC regulations to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. Based solely on a review of the copies of such forms furnished to the Company and written representations from the Company's executive officers and directors, the Company believes that none of its executive officers and directors failed to comply with Section 16(a) reporting requirements in 1995.

#### Agreements with Anschutz Shareholders

In connection with the Company's pending acquisition (the Acquisition) of Southern Pacific Rail Corporation (SP), the Company has entered into a shareholders agreement (Anschutz Shareholders Agreement) with Mr. Philip F. Anschutz, The Anschutz Corporation (TAC) and Anschutz Foundation, a not-for-profit corporation (collectively, Anschutz Shareholders), which provides, among other things, that effective upon consummation of the Acquisition, the Company will elect Mr. Anschutz, or another individual selected by TAC and reasonably acceptable to the Board of Directors of the Company (such director being referred to as the Anschutz Designee), as a director of the Company. Mr. Anschutz is a significant shareholder, director and officer of SP. The Acquisition is not expected to occur until the latter part of 1996, after the Annual Meeting, and is subject to certain conditions as described below. Effective upon consummation of the Acquisition, the Board of Directors intends to increase the size of the Board by one and elect the Anschutz Designee as a director.

Pursuant to the Anschutz Shareholders Agreement, following the initial appointment of the Anschutz Designee as a director, until the seventh anniversary of the Acquisition (subject to earlier termination under certain circumstances), the Company has agreed to include the Anschutz Designee on the Board's slate of nominees for the election of directors at its annual meetings of shareholders and to recommend the election of the Anschutz Designee as a director. The Company also has agreed to (i) appoint Mr. Anschutz, but not any other Anschutz Designee, as Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors following consummation of the Acquisition, with such duties as shall be assigned by the Board or the Chairman of the Board, and (ii) appoint the Anschutz Designee, subject to certain conditions, as a member of the Executive, Finance, and Compensation, Benefits and Nominating Committees of the Board. However, the Company will not be obligated to cause the Anschutz Designee to become a member of the Compensation, Benefits and

Nominating Committee of the Board if, and only for so long as, in the opinion of tax counsel for the Company, the membership of the Anschutz Designee on such Committee would be likely to cause the disallowance of any federal income tax deduction by the Company under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Section 162(m) requires that all members of a compensation committee qualify as 'outside directors' in order for a publicly held company to obtain a deduction for certain performance-based compensation awarded to senior executive officers; the Company believes that Mr. Anschutz, by virtue of his having been an officer of SP, would not qualify as an 'outside director' under current regulations issued under Section 162(m).

Mr. Anschutz is currently Chairman, President and a director of TAC and has been so for more than the past five years. Mr. Anschutz is also Chairman, President and a director of Anschutz Company, the corporate parent of TAC, and has been since its formation in August 1991. TAC is an Anschutz Shareholder and is also engaged in oil and gas activities. Mr. Anschutz is also currently Chairman and a director of SP and has been so for more than the past five years. He also served as President and Chief Executive Officer of SP from October 1988 to July 1993.

Under the Anschutz Shareholders Agreement, the Anschutz Designee, at the request of the Company, would be required to resign from the Board upon certain occurrences, including if the Anschutz Shareholders and their affiliates cease to own at least 4% (or under certain circumstances 3%) of the total outstanding securities of the Company entitled to vote in the election of directors (Voting Securities).

The Anschutz Shareholders Agreement provides for certain 'standstill' limitations on the Anschutz Shareholders until the seventh anniversary of the Acquisition (subject to earlier termination under certain circumstances and certain exceptions) with respect to, among other things: the acquisition of Voting Securities; the solicitation of proxies with respect to Voting Securities; seeking or proposing any merger, business combination or similar extraordinary transaction involving the Company; seeking to control or influence the management, Board or policies of the Company; and the disposition of Voting Securities. In addition, during such 'standstill' period, the Anschutz Shareholders have agreed to vote all shares of the Company's Common Stock which they are entitled to vote in accordance with the recommendation of the Company's Board of Directors in the election of directors. On all other matters, the Anschutz Shareholders may vote their shares in their discretion.

The Acquisition is subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, including approval by the Surface Transportation Board of the Department of Transportation (the successor to the Interstate Commerce Commission). Depending upon the election made by the Anschutz

Shareholders and other shareholders of SP to receive Common Stock of the Company or cash in the Acquisition in respect of their shares of SP common stock, the Anschutz Shareholders would own not more than 6.7% of the then outstanding shares of the Company's Common Stock following consummation of the Acquisition.

#### COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

The Compensation, Benefits and Nominating Committee includes the following non-employee directors: Robert P. Bauman, Richard B. Cheney, E. Virgil Conway, William H. Gray, III, Richard J. Mahoney, Thomas A. Reynolds, Jr., James D. Robinson, III, and Richard D. Simmons.

In January 1993, railroad subsidiaries of the Company entered into a consulting agreement with Modjeski & Masters, Inc., providing for that firm to conduct fatigue assessment studies on certain railroad bridges. During 1995, such subsidiaries paid approximately \$98,500 to such firm for these services. William B. Conway is a brother of E. Virgil Conway and President and owner of a substantial interest in Modjeski & Masters, Inc.

In 1995, railroad and trucking subsidiaries of the Company in the ordinary course of business provided approximately \$31 million of transportation services to Monsanto Company. Richard J. Mahoney is a director and until April 1995 was an officer of Monsanto. The Company has continued and expects to continue to provide transportation services to Monsanto in 1996.

In 1995, Halliburton Company provided approximately \$23.6 million of drilling and production services in the ordinary course of business to Resources. Richard B. Cheney is Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Halliburton. Resources has continued and expects to continue to purchase such services from Halliburton in 1996.

Judith Richards Hope is a Senior Partner of Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker, a law firm that rendered legal services to the Company during 1995.

#### REPORT ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Compensation, Benefits and Nominating Committee is responsible for administering the executive compensation and stock ownership programs for the Company. The Committee offers the following report on its decisions concerning compensation for 1995.

The Committee's objective is to develop and oversee total compensation programs which provide competitive annual compensation and the opportunity for above average

long-term compensation tied to the creation of shareholder value. The Committee believes that superior performance by the Company's executive and management team is essential to maximizing shareholder value. This performance will be achieved only if the Company is able to attract outstanding talent, motivate its executive team through incentives tied to the creation of shareholder value, and retain and reward its executives through a competitive compensation program.

The Committee administers a performance-based executive compensation program consisting of two elements, annual compensation and long-term compensation. The program is designed to provide payment for performance of assigned accountabilities and achievement of predetermined goals, which contribute to corporate earnings, thereby enhancing shareholder value.

#### Annual Compensation

Total annual compensation consists of two components: base salary and at-risk annual incentive pay. Depending on performance and the level of the executive, between 20% and 75% of total annual compensation will be at risk. The Committee reviews each executive officer's salary taking into consideration the executive's performance, corporate and operating unit performance, the executive's position and responsibility in the organization, the executive's experience and expertise, salaries for comparable positions at comparable companies, and internal pay equity. In making salary recommendations or decisions, the Compensation Committee exercises subjective judgement using no specific weights for the above factors. Average base salaries for the Company's executives generally do not exceed the median for comparable companies. When the Company consistently attains its performance criteria, total cash compensation for executives including salary and bonus will be equal to or slightly above the seventy-fifth percentile for comparable companies. Comparable companies include those in the line of business index in the Performance Graph on Page 29 of this Proxy Statement as well as industrial companies of a similar size in different lines of business with which the Company competes for first rate executive talent.

Annual incentive pay is awarded under the Executive Incentive Plan (EIP). In accordance with the EIP, a shareholder-approved formula based on return on equity (ROE) and net income generates funding to a reserve account for payment of incentive awards. The results from continuing operations of the Company must produce a return on average annual shareholder's equity, before accounting changes, of at least 10%. A 10% ROE allows 1.5% of net income to be credited to the reserve fund. An ROE of 12% or greater allows crediting 3% of net income, the maximum amount, to the fund. ROE between 10%

and 12% adds, to the base 1.5% credit, .075% of net income for each .1% of ROE over 10%. The Board of Directors may credit to the reserve account all or a portion of the amount produced by this formula. Some portion of the reserve account, based on an assessment of performance as reviewed and approved by the Committee, may then be awarded for the year in the form of executive incentive awards. Individual awards are based on individual, operating unit and corporate performance and vary from executive to executive. Under the EIP, the maximum annual award that may be made to executive officers whose compensation is

subject to Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code is .25% of covered income for the Chief Executive Officer and .15% of covered income for other covered executive officers (generally the four most highly compensated officers other than the Chief Executive Officer). 'Covered income' is the greater of net income (excluding certain items) for the year or such net income for the first eleven months of the year. In 1995 a total of \$14,904,156 was awarded under the EIP to 149 executives.

In addition, Jack Messman's incentive pay and a portion of Drew Lewis' incentive pay were awarded under the Resources Executive Incentive Plan (Resources' EIP). The Resources' EIP generally operates in the same manner as the EIP, except that credits to the reserve and awards to executives of Resources are made by the Resources' Board of Directors and Compensation and Corporate Governance Committee pursuant to a formula based on Resources' cash flow (income before certain expenses) as a percentage of assets. The Committee reviewed and supported awards to Resources' executives (including Drew Lewis and Jack Messman) made by Resources' Compensation and Corporate Governance Committee.

#### Long-Term Compensation

The Committee believes that long-term compensation should comprise a substantial portion of each executive officer's total compensation. Long-term compensation provides incentives which encourage the executive officers to own and hold the Company's stock and tie their long-term economic interests directly to those of the shareholders. The Company's long-term incentives currently include stock options and retention stock awards.

**Stock Options.** Stock options are the key element in the Company's long-term compensation program. Stock options are generally granted annually, with the magnitude of the award based on the executive's position, experience and performance, without giving particular weight to any one factor. The number of options currently held by an executive was not a factor in any award granted in 1995. Stock options are granted with an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the Common Stock on the date of the grant, and when vested are exercisable up to ten years from the date of grant. To assure that stock

awards continue to align executive and shareholder interests, the Company maintains guidelines for executive stock ownership levels and has communicated to executives its expectation that they achieve and maintain a specific minimum amount of stock ownership ranging from one times salary, to three to seven times salary for senior executives. Until the minimum ownership amount is achieved, executives are expected to retain in Common Stock 100% of the profit upon exercise of options, net of taxes and cost of exercise. In 1994, the Company instituted a Long-Term Performance Plan, which involved three-year option grants, resulting in a limited number of grants being awarded in 1995.

**Retention Stock.** Retention stock grants to executives are awards of shares that are subject to forfeiture if the executive terminates employment before the minimum three-year retention period lapses. Awards of retention stock are directed towards retention of executives, incentive for long-term performance, and alignment of executive interests with other shareholders of the Company.

#### CEO Compensation

In 1995, the Company's most highly compensated officer was Drew Lewis, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, who also served as Chairman of the Board of Resources, the Company's oil, gas and mining subsidiary. The Committee, in consultation with the Compensation and Corporate Governance Committee of Resources, reviewed Mr. Lewis' performance for 1995 and approved an annual incentive award for him based on the factors previously outlined, with no specific weight given to any factor. Mr. Lewis' base salary was established based on the Committee's evaluation of his performance toward the achievement of the Company's financial, strategic and other goals, his length of service as Chief Executive, and competitive chief executive officer pay information. In 1994, Mr. Lewis received options as part of the three-year Long-Term Performance Plan, and therefore, did not receive an option grant in 1995.

During 1995, under Mr. Lewis' leadership, the Company launched several significant strategic initiatives expected to provide long-term economic benefit to the Company. Union Pacific completed its acquisition of the Chicago and North Western Transportation Company (CNW) and successfully negotiated a merger agreement with, and acquired a 25% interest in, the Southern Pacific Rail Corporation. The Company also completed an initial public offering (IPO) by Resources of approximately 17% of its common stock, with the intent of distributing the Company's remaining interest pro rata to shareholders in 1996, subject to, among other things, receipt of an Internal Revenue Service ruling on the tax-free nature of such distribution. In addition to these significant accomplishments, the Company reported a record \$946 million in net income, including \$619 million from continuing operations, a 9% improvement over 1994 income from continuing operations.

In addition to the major strategic initiatives of 1995, the Company's major operating units posted significant accomplishments during 1995. Union Pacific Railroad achieved double-digit earnings growth of 17% over 1994 (excluding the gain of \$16 million from the sale of the Wilmington Field), based on the acquisition of CNW, volume growth and aggressive cost containment programs resulting from continued quality and productivity initiatives.

Overnite Transportation Company (Overnite) faced several challenges during the year, including a general slowdown in the economy, increasingly aggressive pricing from competition and a Teamster's organizing effort. Overnite reported a net loss in 1995, but has initiated a strategic business plan focused on producing operational efficiencies and substantial market penetration, which will serve as a foundation for future improvements in results. Overnite has initiated plans to be implemented throughout 1996 to reengineer terminal operations, streamline the line-haul structure and refocus sales and marketing activities.

Resources reported net income of \$351 million, including \$79 million from the Columbia Gas bankruptcy settlement. After minority interest from the IPO, Resources contributed \$327 million to the Company. Resources achieved strong earnings by increasing volumes and cost containment programs during an environment of lower gas prices. Over the past four years, Resources has been

the most active domestic driller in the industry.

As a result of Mr. Lewis' contributions, he has been awarded EIP payments as reflected in the Summary Compensation Table on Page 22.

The Compensation, Benefits and Nominating Committee

E. Virgil Conway, Chairman  
Robert P. Bauman  
Richard B. Cheney  
William H. Gray, III  
Richard J. Mahoney  
Thomas A. Reynolds, Jr.  
James D. Robinson, III (a)  
Richard D. Simmons

- - - - -

(a) Mr. Robinson replaced Ms. Hope as a member of the Committee in January 1996 and did not participate in the decisions discussed in the Report on Executive Compensation.



SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The following table provides a summary of compensation during the last three calendar years for the Company's Chief Executive Officer and the other four most highly compensated executive officers.

NAME AND PRINCIPAL POSITION	YEAR	ANNUAL COMPENSATION			LONG-TERM COMPENSATION			ALL OTHER COMPEN- SATION (e)
		SALARY	BONUS (a)	OTHER ANNUAL COMPEN- SATION (b)	RESTRICTED STOCK AWARDS (c)	SECURITIES UNDERLYING OPTIONS/ SARS (d)	LTIP PAYOUTS	
Drew Lewis Chairman and CEO	1995	\$910,000	\$ 1,650,000	\$ 129,887	\$ -0-	-0-	\$ -0-	\$ 69,614
	1994	880,000	1,500,000	162,578	-0-	525,000	-0-	135,816
	1993	850,000	1,894,437	114,124	-0-	140,000	-0-	134,436
Richard K. Davidson President and COO; Chairman of Union Pacific Railroad Company	1995	625,000	900,000	64,234	-0-	87,500	-0-	30,291
	1994	537,450	800,000	82,640	1,895,000	168,750	-0-	27,089
	1993	450,000	892,218	-0-	2,550,000	40,000	-0-	21,183
Jack L. Messman President and CEO of Union Pacific Resources Group Inc.	1995	498,750	770,000	4,856	-0-	-0-	-0-	41,260
	1994	460,000	685,000	6,475	1,895,000	150,000	-0-	37,653
	1993	430,000	872,218	51,860	2,550,000	40,000	-0-	28,479
L. White Matthews, III Executive Vice President --Finance	1995	415,000	627,000	6,870	-0-	-0-	-0-	18,283
	1994	400,000	560,000	13,396	1,895,000	150,000	-0-	17,104
	1993	375,500	752,218	-0-	2,550,000	40,000	-0-	15,805
Carl W. von Bernuth Senior Vice President and General Counsel	1995	330,000	532,000	5,457	-0-	-0-	-0-	15,148
	1994	292,500	475,000	3,073	-0-	112,500	-0-	13,384
	1993	280,000	503,330	-0-	-0-	23,500	-0-	12,500

- - - - -

(a) Includes, for 1993 only, EIP awards and final payouts under the Strategic Incentive Plan (SIP), which expired April 15, 1993, respectively as follows: Mr. Lewis \$1,450,000 and \$444,437; Mr. Davidson \$670,000 and \$222,218; Mr. Messman \$650,000 and \$222,218; Mr. Matthews \$530,000 and \$222,218; and Mr. von Bernuth \$370,000 and \$133,330. Other years include EIP awards only. For 1995, all of Mr. Messman's EIP award and \$330,000 of Mr. Lewis' EIP award were paid by Resources.

- (b) Other Annual Compensation includes reimbursements for Medicare tax on supplemental pension and thrift plans, above market interest on deferred

compensation and certain personal benefits, including the following: for Mr. Lewis in 1995, 1994 and 1993--use of corporate transportation \$51,840, \$30,309 and \$34,715, respectively, and tax and financial counseling expenses \$28,667, \$32,839 and \$29,433, respectively; for Mr. Davidson in 1995 and 1994--use of corporate transportation \$27,488 and \$34,348, respectively, and tax and financial counseling services \$26,736 and \$24,450, respectively; and for Mr. Messman in 1993--use of corporate transportation \$19,030 and tax and financial counseling expenses \$24,508. Other Annual Compensation below disclosure thresholds has been omitted.

- (c) Aggregate restricted stock holdings and the value thereof as of December 31, 1995: Mr. Davidson, 80,000 shares, \$5,280,000, and Mr. Matthews, 80,000 shares, \$5,280,000. At the time of the IPO of Resources in October 1995, Mr. Messman's 80,000 share succession related restricted stock grant was converted into 245,954 shares of Resources restricted stock with a value as of December 31, 1995 of \$6,241,082. Dividends on the succession related grants accumulate but will not be paid to the individuals until the lapse of the restricted periods and will be subject to forfeiture if service requirements are not met.

- (d) Amounts for 1994 represent three-year grants awarded in December 1994. Of Mr. Lewis' 1994 grant, 116,666 shares, and of Mr. Messman's 1993 and 1994 grants, all but 7,500 shares, were converted at the time of the IPO into options to acquire 358,835 and 563,219 shares, respectively, of common stock of Resources. Such conversions were calculated to result in the same in-the-money value on the date of conversion. Mr. Messman has agreed to convert the remaining Company options into Resources options at the time of the spin-off of Resources to Company shareholders.

- (e) All Other Compensation consists of Company-matched thrift plan contributions (Mr. Lewis \$27,300, Mr. Davidson \$18,750, Mr. Messman \$29,550, Mr. Matthews \$12,450 and Mr. von Bernuth \$9,838 in 1995), life insurance premiums or payments in lieu thereof in 1995 (Mr. Lewis \$35,440, Mr. Davidson \$11,541, Mr. Messman \$11,710, Mr. Matthews \$5,833 and Mr. von Bernuth \$5,310) and, for Mr. Lewis, premiums (\$6,874 for 1995) associated with life insurance policies.

- - - - -  
The Company has an employment agreement with Mr. Lewis which provided for his employment through March 31, 1991. If the Company terminates Mr. Lewis' employment without cause (as defined in the agreement) following the expiration of the term of the agreement, Mr. Lewis will be entitled to continuation of his current base salary for two years

following such termination. If Mr. Lewis is removed from his position as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer without cause, he has the right under such agreement to treat such removal as a termination of his employment by the Company. Under such agreement, Mr. Lewis may voluntarily terminate his employment with the Company at any time but in that event will receive no further payments or benefits from the Company. Mr. Lewis has agreed not to engage in any business if such business competes, directly or indirectly, with the Company for a minimum of three years after any termination of employment.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth information concerning the direct beneficial ownership of the Company's Common Stock as of March 1, 1996 by the Company's Chief Executive Officer and the other four most highly compensated executive officers.

NAME	NUMBER OF SHARES BENEFICIALLY OWNED (a)	PERCENT OF CLASS
Drew Lewis	628,000	0.31%
Richard K. Davidson	325,321	0.16%
Jack L. Messman	55,153	0.03%
L. White Matthews, III	290,237	0.14%
Carl W. von Bernuth	155,906	0.08%

(a) Included in the number of shares beneficially owned by Messrs. Lewis, Davidson, Messman, Matthews and von Bernuth are 455,000, 208,250, 7,200, 172,900 and 122,900 shares, respectively, which such persons have the right to acquire within 60 days pursuant to stock options. Also included in the number of shares owned by Messrs. Davidson and Matthews are 80,000 restricted shares each awarded under the 1993 Stock Option and Retention Stock Plan. In addition, Mr. Messman beneficially owns 709,737 shares, or 1.67%, of Resources common stock, including 441,183 shares which he has the right to acquire within 60 days pursuant to stock options and 245,954 restricted shares.

OPTION/SAR GRANTS TABLE

The following table sets forth information concerning individual grants of stock options during 1995 to the Company's Chief Executive Officer and the other four most highly compensated executive officers. Stock appreciation rights were not granted in 1995.

INDIVIDUAL GRANTS					
NAME	NUMBER OF SECURITIES UNDERLYING OPTIONS/ SARS GRANTED	% OF TOTAL OPTIONS/SARS GRANTED TO EMPLOYEES	EXERCISE OR BASE PRICE	EXPIRATION DATE	GRANT DATE PRESENT VALUE (b)
Drew Lewis (a)	-0-	-0-%	--	--	--
Richard K. Davidson	87,500	12.83%	\$67.94	11/30/05	\$1,358,875
Jack L. Messman (a)	-0-	-0-%	--	--	--
L. White Matthews, III	-0-	-0-%	--	--	--
Carl W. von Bernuth	-0-	-0-%	--	--	--

- - - - -

(a) At the time of the Resources IPO, Messrs. Lewis and Messman received options on 358,835 and 736,454 shares, respectively, of Resources common stock in exchange for options on 116,666 and 238,800 shares, respectively, of Company Common Stock. Conversions were calculated to result in the same in-the-money value on the date of conversion.

(b) Calculated in accordance with the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The assumptions used in such option pricing model are: expected volatility, 21.9%; expected dividend yield, 2.6%; expected option term, 5 years; and risk-free rate of return, 5.94%.

- - - - -

OPTION/SAR EXERCISES AND YEAR-END VALUE TABLE

The following table sets forth individual exercises of stock options during 1995 by the Company's Chief Executive Officer and the other four most highly compensated executive officers.

NAME	SHARES ACQUIRED ON EXERCISE	VALUE REALIZED	NUMBER OF SECURITIES UNDERLYING UNEXERCISED OPTIONS/SARS AT YEAR-END		VALUE OF IN-THE-MONEY OPTIONS/SARS AT YEAR-END	
			EXERCISABLE/ UNEXERCISABLE	EXERCISABLE/ UNEXERCISABLE	EXERCISABLE/ UNEXERCISABLE	EXERCISABLE/ UNEXERCISABLE
Drew Lewis (a)	-0-	\$ -0-	455,000 233,334		\$ 5,728,362 4,652,096	
Richard K. Davidson	-0-	-0-	208,250 200,000		3,686,614 2,242,968	
Jack L. Messman (a)	-0-	-0-	7,200 4,000		186,734 79,750	
L. White Matthews, III	5,368	363,243	172,900 100,000		2,776,054 1,993,750	
Carl W. von Bernuth	3,322	227,764	122,900 75,000		2,042,904 1,495,312	

(a) At year end 1995, Mr. Lewis had 358,835 unexercisable options on shares of Resources common stock with an in-the-money value of \$3,663,705; Mr. Messman had 441,183 exercisable and 295,271 unexercisable options on shares of Resources common stock with in-the-money values of \$3,581,418 and \$3,014,716, respectively.

## DEFINED BENEFIT PLANS

Pensions for non-agreement employees of the Company, Union Pacific Railroad Company, Resources and Union Pacific Technologies, Inc., are provided through the Pension Plan for Salaried Employees of Union Pacific Corporation and Affiliates (Basic Plan), the Supplemental Pension Plan for Officers and Managers of Union Pacific Corporation and Affiliates and the Supplemental Pension Plan for Exempt Salaried Employees of Union Pacific Resources Group Inc. and Affiliates (Supplemental Plans). The amount of the annual pension benefit from all sources is based upon average annual compensation for the 36 consecutive months of highest regular compensation (including up to three cash incentive

payments within the 36-month period) within the 120-month period immediately preceding retirement (final average earnings). Regular compensation for this purpose is the aggregate amount reflected in the salary and bonus columns, except for Strategic Incentive Plan payments, of the Summary Compensation Table on Page 22. To provide ongoing pension benefits subsequent to the spin-off of Resources, a separate pension plan will be established for its employees. Assets of the Basic Plan will be segregated based on the percentage of liabilities attributed to Resources. The new Resources plan will initially provide the same terms and conditions as the Basic Plan. The credited years of service for each of the five individuals named in the Summary Compensation Table are as follows: Mr. Lewis 29, Mr. Davidson 35, Mr. Messman 15, Mr. Matthews 19 and Mr. von Bernuth 16.

The Supplemental Plans are unfunded non-contributory plans which provide, unlike the Basic Plan, for the grant of additional years of employment and deemed age to officers or supervisors, for the inclusion of earnings in excess of the limits contained in the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), and deferred incentive compensation in the calculation of final average earnings and for any benefit in excess of the limitations provided for under the Code. Messrs. Lewis, Davidson, Messman, Matthews and von Bernuth have accrued benefits under one or both of the Supplemental Plans.

The Company has purchased annuities to satisfy certain unfunded obligations under the Supplemental Plans to executives and certain other active and former employees and has paid the federal and state taxes on behalf of such persons imposed in connection with these purchases. These purchases reduce the Company's obligations under the Supplemental Plans. The benefits in the following Pension Plan Table will be reduced for any employee for whom an annuity was purchased by an amount calculated so that the expected aggregate amount received by the employee from the annuity and the Supplemental Plan net of federal taxes will be the same as the net amount that would have been received from the Supplemental Plan if the annuity had not been purchased.

The estimated annual benefits payable under the Basic Plan and Supplemental Plans at normal retirement at age 65 based upon final average earnings and years of employment is illustrated in the following table:

PENSION PLAN TABLE						
FINAL AVERAGE EARNINGS	15 YEARS OF EMPLOYMENT	20 YEARS OF EMPLOYMENT	25 YEARS OF EMPLOYMENT	30 YEARS OF EMPLOYMENT	35 YEARS OF EMPLOYMENT	40 YEARS OF EMPLOYMENT
\$ 800,000	\$197,790	\$263,720	\$ 329,650	\$ 395,500	\$ 434,750	\$ 474,000
1,000,000	247,800	330,400	413,000	495,500	544,750	594,000
1,200,000	297,810	397,080	496,350	595,500	654,750	714,000
1,400,000	347,820	463,760	579,700	695,500	764,750	834,000
1,600,000	397,830	530,440	663,050	795,500	874,750	954,000
1,800,000	447,840	597,120	746,400	895,500	984,750	1,074,000
2,000,000	497,850	663,800	829,750	995,500	1,094,750	1,194,000
2,200,000	547,860	730,480	913,100	1,095,500	1,204,750	1,314,000
2,400,000	597,870	797,160	996,450	1,195,500	1,314,750	1,434,000
2,600,000	647,880	863,840	1,079,800	1,295,500	1,424,750	1,554,000
2,800,000	697,890	930,520	1,163,150	1,395,500	1,534,750	1,674,000
3,000,000	747,900	997,200	1,246,500	1,495,500	1,644,750	1,794,000

The benefits in the foregoing Pension Plan Table would be paid in the form of a life annuity with a 50% surviving spouse's benefit and reflect offsets for Social Security. The benefits would be somewhat lower if Railroad Retirement offsets were applicable.

## FIVE-YEAR PERFORMANCE COMPARISON

The graph set forth below provides an indicator of cumulative total shareholder returns, assuming reinvestment of dividends, for the Company as compared to the S&P 500 Stock Index and a peer group comprising CSX Corporation, Norfolk Southern Corporation, Burlington Northern, Inc. (BNI), Santa Fe Pacific Corporation (SFP) (after September 22, 1995, BNI and SFP were merged to form Burlington Northern Santa Fe Corporation), Burlington Resources, Inc., El Paso Natural Gas Co., Santa Fe Energy Resources, Inc., Santa Fe Pacific Gold Corporation, and Catellus Development Corp. (the former real estate development subsidiary of Santa Fe).

### COMPARISON OF FIVE-YEAR CUMULATIVE RETURN UPC, S&P 500 AND PEER GROUP

---

[GRAPH]

	UPC	S&P	PEERS
12/90	100.0	100.0	100.0
12/91	151.0	130.3	138.2
12/92	175.4	140.3	157.7
12/93	192.4	154.3	195.0
12/94	143.9	156.4	175.7
12/95	215.4	215.0	238.8



## CORPORATE GOVERNANCE STANDARDS

The Management and the Board of Directors of the Company initiated in mid-1995 a review and analysis of the Company's corporate governance practices. The purpose of this review was to address the increasing emphasis on governance on the part of individual and institutional investors in their investment evaluation and proxy voting procedures. The Company's objectives in conducting the review were to (1) analyze its governance policies and practices as compared to those of other companies and in light of the policies, guidelines and recommendations of various organizations and institutional investors, (2) develop recommendations with respect to any changes in the Company's policies or practices, and (3) articulate and record current and proposed policies and procedures.

A report was made to the Board in January 1996 on the results of the review. The report covered existing Board procedures, the need to amend or formalize certain existing policies and adopt several new ones and the recommendation that written guidelines and policies governing the conduct of Board operations be adopted. The Board thereupon adopted the following guidelines and policies as the corporate governance standards of the Company.

**Board Meeting Agendas.** The Board formalized an existing guideline concerning the origination by directors and the management of the Company of items for the Board agenda and the scheduling of information reports on aspects of parent or subsidiary operations.

**Distribution of Board Materials.** The Board adopted a guideline based on current practice recommending that information and material for Board consideration be distributed to directors at least five days in advance of the meeting, with additional time to be provided when the complexity of an issue demands.

**Board Presentations.** The Board adopted a guideline encouraging broad management participation in Board presentations and the involvement of those managers who are directly responsible for the recommendations or other matters before the Board.

**Board Size.** The Board adopted an advisory guideline that the Board take the necessary steps over time to reach a Board size of 12 to 14 members.

**Board Independence.** The Board adopted the policy that at least a majority of the Board members be independent directors and that the membership of the Audit Committee and the Compensation, Benefits and Nominating Committee be made up exclusively of independent directors. The Board adopted as its standard of

independence the standard used by the New York Stock Exchange in determining independence of directors on the Audit Committees of listed companies.

Chief Executive Officer Evaluation. The Board adopted as a guideline the current practices of the Compensation, Benefits and Nominating Committee in evaluating the Chief Executive Officer. These practices include:

- o Set performance criteria and establish a compensation package that contains a high level of at-risk reward;
- o Perform an annual evaluation at a session at which the CEO is not present;
- o Report the results of the evaluation to the full Board as well as all compensation awards and the justification for such awards;
- o Include in the evaluation the CEO's performance in meeting established targets, corporate and operating unit performance, the experience and expertise of the CEO and comparable compensation at comparable companies; and
- o Include in the evaluation the initiation of strategic projects to provide long-term economic benefit to the Company, earnings growth, cost containment initiatives and increase in shareholder value.

CEO Service on Outside Boards. The Board adopted a guideline that when the CEO is invited to serve on outside boards of directors, the CEO should present the issue to the Board for review and approval.

New Director Orientation. The Board adopted a guideline that new directors, upon election to the Board, be provided with a comprehensive set of materials on the operations, finances and business plan of the Company, visit at least two major facilities during the first year of service and meet informally with as many members of senior management as practical.

Board Committee Meeting Agendas. The Board adopted existing guidelines for the inclusion of items on Board Committee agendas involving the areas of responsibility charged to each committee.

Board Member Compensation. The Board adopted a guideline that non-employee Board members generally be paid an annual retainer valued between the median and seventy-fifth percentile of compensation at comparable companies, with such retainer to be reviewed periodically by the Compensation, Benefits and Nominating Committee. The Board included in the guideline its intent that any

increases in the retainer generally be paid in common stock equivalents with the goal that 50% of the annual retainer be paid in common stock equivalents.

Board Member Pensions. The Board adopted a policy to eliminate the non-employee director pension plan for directors who begin service after the adoption of this policy. New directors would receive a one-time credit to their deferred Union Pacific Stock Unit Accounts after five years of service as described on Page 12.

Board Member Equity Ownership Target. The Board adopted a new guideline that Board members should own equity in the Company equal to at least three times the value of the annual retainer, with the goal to be reached within five years of joining the Board.

The Board also reviewed the Company's policies concerning the election of directors and approved and recommended that shareholders vote for amendments to the Company's Revised Articles of Incorporation to eliminate cumulative voting in the election of directors and to eliminate the Company's classified Board. These amendments, each of which is conditioned upon shareholder approval of the other, are described in Proposal 2 and Proposal 3 below.

#### PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO REVISED ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION

The Company's Revised Articles of Incorporation currently provide for cumulative voting in the election of directors and for a classified Board of Directors. On January 24, 1996, the Board of Directors approved, subject to shareholder approval, amendments to the Company's Revised Articles of Incorporation to eliminate cumulative voting in the election of directors and to eliminate the Company's classified Board.

For the reasons described below, the Board of Directors believes that it is in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders to eliminate the Company's classified Board only if cumulative voting in the election of directors also is eliminated. Similarly, the Board is not proposing to eliminate cumulative voting if the Company's classified Board remains in effect. Accordingly, the Board's separate proposals to eliminate cumulative voting and to declassify the Board, set forth in Proposals 2 and 3 below, are each conditioned upon shareholder approval of the other proposal. In view of the fact that each of these proposals is conditioned upon shareholder approval of the other, a vote against one of the proposals may have the effect of a vote against the other proposal.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR APPROVAL OF PROPOSALS 2 AND 3 BELOW, PROVIDING FOR THE ELIMINATION OF BOTH CUMULATIVE VOTING AND THE CLASSIFIED BOARD.

(2) AMENDMENT TO THE COMPANY'S REVISED  
ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION TO ELIMINATE CUMULATIVE VOTING

The Board of Directors is proposing an amendment to the Company's Revised Articles of Incorporation to eliminate cumulative voting in the election of directors of the Company at all elections occurring after the Company's 1996 Annual Meeting. The approval of the Board's proposal to eliminate cumulative voting is conditioned upon the approval by shareholders of the Board's proposal to amend the Company's Revised Articles of Incorporation to eliminate the Company's classified Board as set forth in Proposal 3 below. In the event the proposal to eliminate the Company's classified Board is not approved by shareholders at the Annual Meeting, the Company will not amend its Revised Articles of Incorporation to eliminate cumulative voting.

Article FIFTH of the Company's Revised Articles of Incorporation currently provides for cumulative voting in the election of directors. Under cumulative voting, each shareholder has a number of votes equal to the number of shares such shareholder is entitled to vote multiplied by the number of directors to be elected at the meeting. The shareholder may allocate such votes to or among one or more nominees for director in any manner desired by the shareholder. The candidates receiving the highest number of votes, up to the number of directors to be elected, are elected. Cumulative voting may enable a shareholder or group of shareholders representing a small minority of the votes cast in an election of directors to cause the election of one or more nominees. For example, as set out in the description of Proposal 3 below, with cumulative voting and a non-classified Board, shareholders representing less than 5% of the Company's outstanding shares could elect a director to the Board.

If the proposal to eliminate cumulative voting is adopted, cumulative voting will not be available with respect to any election of directors by shareholders occurring after the 1996 Annual Meeting. Without cumulative voting, a holder or holders of shares representing a majority of the votes cast in an election of directors for the Company will be able to elect all directors standing for election.

The Board of Directors considered the fact that a majority of the companies listed on the New York Stock Exchange do not elect directors by cumulative voting. The Board of Directors believes that in publicly held corporations, each director should only be elected if such director receives a plurality of the votes cast. The Board further believes that each director should represent the interests of all shareholders rather than the interests of a minority shareholder or a special constituency. The Company, however, is not aware of any attempt by a group of shareholders of the Company to elect a director by using cumulative voting to achieve minority representation on the Board. The Board believes that the system of electing directors whereby those directors are elected who receive a plurality of votes

cast by shareholders as a whole will best ensure that the Board will act for the benefit of all shareholders. Accordingly, the Board believes that it is in the best interests of the Company and all of its shareholders to eliminate cumulative voting.

The proposed amendment eliminating cumulative voting in the election of directors would preserve the same voting standard for removal of directors as is currently in effect. The Company's Revised Articles of Incorporation currently provide that a vote of the holders of two-thirds of the shares of stock of the Company then entitled to vote in an election of directors is required to remove one or more directors without cause. In addition, pursuant to the Revised Articles of Incorporation, no director may be removed if the votes of a sufficient number of shares are cast against his removal which, at an election of the class of directors of which he is a member, would be sufficient to elect him. The Revised Articles of Incorporation, as proposed to be amended, would provide that even though there is no cumulative voting in the election of directors, no director may be removed if the votes of a sufficient number of shares are cast against his removal which, at an election of the class of directors of which he is a member (or at an election of the entire Board of Directors commencing at the 1999 Annual Meeting of Shareholders), would have been sufficient to elect him if cumulative voting were applicable.

While the Board of Directors does not consider the elimination of cumulative voting, when coupled with the proposed elimination of the Company's classified Board, as an anti-takeover measure, the absence of cumulative voting could have the effect of preventing shareholders holding a minority of the Company's shares from obtaining representation on the Board.

If the amendment to eliminate cumulative voting in the election of directors is adopted (which adoption, as stated above, is conditioned upon approval by shareholders of the Board's proposal to eliminate the Company's classified Board), the text of Article FIFTH of the Company's Revised Articles of Incorporation will be amended in relevant part to delete the following sentence:

'In electing directors each shareholder may accumulate his votes by giving one candidate as many votes as the number of such directors multiplied by the number of his shares shall equal, or by distributing such votes on the same principle among any number of such candidates.'

In addition, the following will be inserted immediately prior to the last sentence of Article FIFTH of the Company's Revised Articles of Incorporation:

'In voting for the election of directors holders of Common Stock shall not have the right to accumulate their votes. Notwithstanding that shareholders shall not be entitled to accumulate votes in the election of directors no one of the directors may

be removed if the votes of a sufficient number of shares are cast against removal which, at an election of the class of directors of which the director is a member (or at an election of the entire board of directors commencing at the 1999 annual meeting), would have been sufficient to elect the director if cumulative voting were applicable.'

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE COMPANY'S REVISED ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION TO ELIMINATE CUMULATIVE VOTING.

PROXIES WILL BE VOTED FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE AMENDMENT TO THE COMPANY'S REVISED ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION TO ELIMINATE CUMULATIVE VOTING UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED ON THE PROXY.

#### REQUIRED VOTE

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Common Stock entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting is required to authorize the Board's proposed amendment to the Company's Revised Articles of Incorporation to eliminate cumulative voting. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have the effect of a vote against approval of the proposed amendment to the Company's Revised Articles of Incorporation to eliminate cumulative voting.

#### (3) AMENDMENT TO THE COMPANY'S REVISED ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION TO DECLASSIFY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The Board of Directors is proposing an amendment to the Company's Revised Articles of Incorporation to eliminate the Company's classified Board and thereby ensure that each Board member will stand for election annually. The approval of the Board's proposal to eliminate the Company's classified Board is conditioned upon the approval of the Board's proposal to amend the Company's Revised Articles of Incorporation to eliminate cumulative voting as set forth in Proposal 2 above. In the event that the Board's proposal to eliminate cumulative voting is not approved by shareholders at the Annual Meeting, the Company will withdraw and not present for a vote at the Annual Meeting the Board's proposal to eliminate the classified Board.

Article SEVENTH of the Company's Revised Articles of Incorporation currently provides for the division of the Board of Directors into three classes, each class consisting as nearly as possible of one-third of the total number of directors, whenever the Board size is nine or more. Based on its review of corporate governance standards described above under 'Corporate Governance Standards' and for the reasons described below, the Board believes that the elimination of the classified Board, together with the elimination of

cumulative voting, will allow shareholders to express their views annually regarding the entire Board by electing all directors annually and also help to ensure that each director will represent the interests of all shareholders.

The Board has determined that this change should be implemented on a prospective basis, commencing with the Annual Meeting of Shareholders in 1997, so as not to shorten the term of any director elected at or prior to the 1996 Annual Meeting. Thus, as proposed to be amended, the Revised Articles of Incorporation would provide that at each Annual Meeting of Shareholders, commencing with the Annual Meeting of Shareholders in 1997, the successors of the directors whose terms expire in that year will be elected for a one-year term. Accordingly, upon the expiration in 1999 of the terms of the directors elected at this year's Annual Meeting, all directors will be elected to hold

office for a one-year term. If this proposal to declassify the Board is adopted, the current requirement set forth in Article SEVENTH that the affirmative vote of the holders of at least sixty-six and two-thirds percent of the shares of capital stock of the Company entitled to vote on a provision to amend or repeal, or adopt any provision inconsistent with Article SEVENTH will be eliminated. Future amendments affecting Article SEVENTH would require a majority of the votes cast at a meeting, unless otherwise provided by the Utah Revised Business Corporation Act, the Revised Articles of Incorporation or the By-Laws.

The Board of Directors has determined that it is not in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders to eliminate the Company's classified Board unless and until cumulative voting also is eliminated. In this regard, the Board noted that with both cumulative voting and a non-classified Board consisting of 17 directors (the current size of the Board), a shareholder or group of shareholders representing only 5.6% of the Company's shares voting at a meeting would be able to elect one director to the Board of Directors. This would be so even if shareholders holding 94.4% of the shares were opposed to the election of that candidate. In fact, the 5.6% of the Company's shares voting for such a candidate could represent as little as 4.8% of the Company's outstanding shares based on the percentage of outstanding shares actually voting at last year's Annual Meeting. By contrast, with cumulative voting and a classified Board consisting of 17 directors (and assuming six directors in the applicable class), a shareholder or group of shareholders would require at least 14.3% of the shares voting at a meeting in order to assure representation on the Company's Board of Directors. As the foregoing examples illustrate, the effect of cumulative voting in the case of a non-classified Board of Directors would be to enable a relatively small group of shareholders to elect a particular director to the Company's Board to represent the special interests of such shareholders which might differ from the interests of shareholders as a whole. The Board of Directors believes that all members of the Board should be elected annually by a plurality of votes cast and therefore represent, and be accountable to, all shareholders. Accordingly, the Board has approved

the declassification of the Company's Board of Directors conditioned upon the elimination of cumulative voting as set forth in Proposal 2 above.

In the event that the Board's proposal to eliminate cumulative voting is approved by the Company's shareholders at the Annual Meeting, the Company will present to the meeting a proposal that the Company's Revised Articles of Incorporation be amended to declassify the Board of Directors as discussed above by amending and restating Article SEVENTH of the Revised Articles of Incorporation as follows:

'The number of directors of the corporation shall be such as shall from time to time be fixed by the bylaws, but shall not be less than three.

Through and including the 1996 annual meeting, whenever the number of directors fixed by the bylaws shall be nine or more, the directors shall be divided into three classes as nearly equal in size as possible, with the term of office of each class of directors expiring at the third annual meeting after their election. At each

annual meeting, commencing with the annual meeting in 1997, the successors of the directors whose terms expire in that year shall be elected to serve until the annual meeting held in the following year, so that, upon the expiration in 1999 of the terms of the directors elected at the annual meeting in 1996, all directors shall be elected to hold office for a one-year term.'

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE COMPANY'S REVISED ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION TO DECLASSIFY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS.

PROXIES WILL BE VOTED FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE AMENDMENT TO THE COMPANY'S REVISED ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION TO DECLASSIFY THE COMPANY'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED ON THE PROXY.

#### REQUIRED VOTE

The affirmative vote of the holders of two-thirds of the outstanding shares of Common Stock entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting is required to authorize the Board's proposed amendment to the Company's Revised Articles of Incorporation to declassify the Board of Directors. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have the effect of a vote against approval of the proposed amendment to the Company's Revised of Articles of Incorporation to declassify the Board of Directors.



(4) RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT  
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

The Board of Directors, upon the recommendation of the Audit Committee, has appointed Deloitte & Touche LLP as the firm of independent certified public accountants to audit the books and accounts of the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries for the year 1996 subject to ratification by shareholders. The appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP continues a relationship that began in 1969.

A representative of Deloitte & Touche LLP is expected to be present at the Annual Meeting and will have an opportunity to make a statement if such representative desires to do so and will be available to respond to appropriate questions by shareholders.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT SHAREHOLDERS VOTE FOR APPROVAL OF PROPOSAL 4.

OTHER BUSINESS

The only business to come before the meeting of which the management is aware is set forth in this Proxy Statement, except that management has been informed that the International Brotherhood of Teamsters General Fund (the Teamsters) intends to submit the following resolution for a shareholder vote at the Annual Meeting: 'RESOLVED, that the shareholders urge the Board of Directors of the Company or its successor to provide that an independent director who is not a former chief executive of the Company serve as chair of the board.' If

such proposal is properly brought before the Annual Meeting by the Teamsters, the proxy holders intend to use their discretionary authority to vote against such proposal. If any other business is presented for action, it is intended that discretionary authority to vote the proxies shall be exercised in respect thereof in accordance with the best judgment of the proxy holders.

IT IS IMPORTANT THAT PROXIES BE RETURNED PROMPTLY. THEREFORE, SHAREHOLDERS ARE URGED TO DATE, SIGN AND RETURN THE ACCOMPANYING FORM OF PROXY IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE.

J. L. SWANTAK  
Vice President and Secretary

Any security holder wishing to receive, without charge, a copy of Union Pacific's 1995 Annual Report on Form 10-K (without exhibits) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission or the Company's report, 'Commitment to Workplace Diversity', should write to Secretary, Union Pacific Corporation, Martin Tower, Eighth and Eaton Avenues, Bethlehem, PA 18018.

PROXY  
SOLICITED BY BOARD OF DIRECTORS  
ANNUAL MEETING April 19, 1996  
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH

The undersigned hereby appoints DREW LEWIS and JUDY L. SWANTAK, and each of them, as Proxies, each with the power to appoint a substitute, and hereby authorizes them to represent and to vote all the shares of stock of UNION PACIFIC CORPORATION which the undersigned is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on April 19, 1996 or any adjournment or postponement thereof as indicated in this Proxy upon all matters referred to on the reverse side and described in the Proxy Statement for the Meeting, and, in their discretion as set forth in the Proxy Statement, upon any other matters that may properly come before the meeting. IF NO DIRECTION IS MADE, THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED FOR THE ELECTION OF DIRECTORS AND FOR PROPOSALS 2, 3 AND 4.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the election of Directors and FOR proposals 2, 3 and 4. Please note that approval of each of proposals 2 and 3 is conditioned upon approval of the other proposal.

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT! PLEASE MARK, SIGN AND DATE THIS PROXY ON THE REVERSE SIDE AND RETURN IT PROMPTLY IN THE ACCOMPANYING ENVELOPE.

(Continued and to be signed on reverse side.)

PLEASE MARK VOTE IN OVAL IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER USING DARK INK ONLY. \_\_\_\_

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the election of Directors and FOR proposals 2, 3 and 4. Please note that approval of each of proposals 2 and 3 is conditioned upon approval of the other proposal.

1. Election of Directors			
Nominees: R.B. Cheney, E.V. Conway, Drew Lewis,	For	Withheld	Except
L.W. Matthews, III, J.L. Messman, T.A. Reynolds, Jr.	___	___	___

(Except nominee(s) written above.)

To distribute your votes on a cumulative basis, write below the name(s) of the nominee(s) you wish to vote for and the number of votes you wish to cast for each.

2. Approval of amending the Revised Articles of Incorporation to eliminate cumulative voting.	For	Against	Abstain
	___	___	___
3. Approval of amending the Revised Articles of Incorporation to declassify the Board.	For	Against	Abstain
	___	___	___
4. Ratify appointment of Deloitte & Touche as independent auditors.	For	Against	Abstain
	___	___	___

The undersigned acknowledges receipt of the Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders and of the Proxy Statement.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 1996

Signature(s) \_\_\_\_\_

Please sign exactly as your name appears. Joint owners should each sign personally. Where applicable, indicate your official position or representation capacity.

To the Trustee:

The UNDERSIGNED hereby instructs you to vote, in person or by proxy, all the shares of stock of Union Pacific Corporation which were allocated to my account as of February 9, 1996, under one or more of the plans listed below and identified by the four-letter code below and on the reverse side of this card at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on April 19, 1996, or any adjournment or postponement thereof as indicated upon all matters referred to on the reverse side of this card and described in the Proxy Statement for the Meeting. This card when properly executed will be voted in the manner described herein. IF NO DIRECTION IS MADE, THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED FOR THE ELECTION OF DIRECTORS AND FOR PROPOSALS 2, 3 AND 4. If you do not return your card, the shares allocated to the plans in the left column below will be voted by the Trustee in the same proportion as the shares with respect to which voting instructions are received, and the shares allocated to the plans in the right column below will not be voted. If you have shares allocated to more than one of the plans below and wish to vote the shares differently among the plans, you may contact Harris Trust & Savings Bank at 1-800-317-2512 for additional instruction cards.

- Union Pacific Corporation Thrift Plan (UPTP)
- Union Pacific Resources Group Inc. Employees' Thrift Plan (RSTP)
- Union Pacific Agreement Employee 401(k) Retirement Thrift Plan (UPAT)
- Union Pacific Fruit Express Company Agreement Employee 401(k) Retirement Thrift Plan (UPFE)
- Union Pacific Motor Freight Company Employee 401(k) Retirement Thrift Plan (UPMF)
- Skyway Retirement Savings Plan (SRSP)

- Union Pacific Corporation Thrift Plan PAYSOP (UPSP)
- Union Pacific Resources Group Inc. Employees' Thrift Plan PAYSOP (RPSP)
- Union Pacific Corporation Employee Stock Ownership Plan (TRASOP) (USOP)
- Missouri Pacific Corporation Employee Stock Ownership Plan (MSOP)

PLEASE MARK VOTE IN OVAL IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER USING DARK INK ONLY. \_\_\_\_

The Union Pacific Corporation Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the election of Directors and FOR proposals 2, 3 and 4. Please note that approval of each of proposals 2 and 3 is conditioned upon approval of the other proposal.

- |  |     |          |        |
|--|-----|----------|--------|
| 1. Election of Directors                             |     |          |        |
| Nominees: R.B. Cheney, E.V. Conway, Drew Lewis,      | For | Withheld | Except |
| L.W. Matthews, III, J.L. Messman, T.A. Reynolds, Jr. | ___ | ___      | ___    |

(Except nominee(s) written above.)

To distribute your votes on a cumulative basis, write below the name(s) of the nominee(s) you wish to vote for and the number of votes you wish to cast for each.

- |   |     |         |         |
|---|-----|---------|---------|
| 2. Approval of amending the Revised Articles of Incorporation to eliminate cumulative voting. | For | Against | Abstain |
|   | ___ | ___     | ___     |
| 3. Approval of amending the Revised Articles of Incorporation to declassify the Board.        | For | Against | Abstain |
|   | ___ | ___     | ___     |
| 4. Ratify appointment of Deloitte & Touche as independent auditors.                           | For | Against | Abstain |
|   | ___ | ___     | ___     |

The undersigned acknowledges receipt of the Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders and of the Proxy Statement.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 1996

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Please sign exactly as your name appears. Where

applicable, indicate your official position or representation capacity.